APPENDIX K
California’s Attorney Discipline System

In California, an attorney is licensed when admitted to the State Bar; only attorneys with active status may practice law. The State Bar is a constitutional agency established in the judicial branch. In administering the requirements for admission and discipline of California lawyers, the State Bar is an administrative arm of the California Supreme Court. Under its inherent judicial power to regulate admission and discipline, it is the Supreme Court that admits, disbars, or suspends a lawyer from the practice of law.

In California’s attorney discipline system, communication and information concerning alleged misconduct of California lawyers is handled by the State Bar’s Office of Chief Trial Counsel (OCTC). OCTC investigates those complaints involving allegations of professional misconduct and may initiate and prosecute disciplinary proceedings in State Bar Court (Court). The Hearing Department of the Court conducts evidentiary hearings and renders a decision with findings and recommendations of discipline that are reviewable by the Court’s Review Department. In each case, the Court’s final decision and accompanying record are then transmitted to the Supreme Court. In cases where the Court recommends the suspension or disbarment of a lawyer, the Supreme Court undertakes an independent determination of the discipline to be imposed. Discipline occurs with a final decision and order of the Supreme Court. Following is a more detailed description of the attorney discipline process.

INQUIRY

The disciplinary process typically begins with receipt of a written complaint in OCTC. Staff in OCTC receive and review complaints that allege ethical misconduct by an attorney or the unauthorized practice of law by a non-attorney. OCTC conducts the initial review of a complaint to determine whether to close it or forward it for investigation. If a complaint sufficiently alleges misconduct, OCTC assigns it for investigation. If it does not, OCTC closes the complaint.

Some complaints lack sufficient detail to allow OCTC to make an informed decision at the outset as to whether or not to assign a case for investigation. In these cases, OCTC will seek additional information to determine the next steps. This information gathering may involve contacting the complainant, reviewing court records, searching the internet, or conducting legal research. For example, in evaluating an allegation of failing to perform competently, if it is unclear whether an attorney-client relationship exists, OCTC will contact the complainant to try to secure a fee agreement or other evidence of such a relationship. If a complaint involves a violation of a court order, OCTC will attempt to obtain a copy of the order if it is not included with the complaint. If a complaint alleges failure to return an unearned fee, OCTC may request billing statements or an accounting to determine if there is a plausible claim of misconduct, and may assist the complainant in recovering fees from the respondent. Appendix C provides samples of letters sent to complainants that reflect the efforts of OCTC to undertake a meaningful analysis of the facts and their applicability to the rules governing the prosecution of attorney misconduct, as well as to assist complainants and respondents in resolving issues, prior to closing a complaint.

61 Public and private reprovals are also considered formal discipline; issuance of a reproval by the Court does not require Supreme Court action.
INVESTIGATION

Investigations are carried out by investigators in OCTC, under the guidance and supervision of OCTC attorneys. Investigators may interview witnesses and respondents, subpoena and analyze bank records, obtain court documents, and otherwise evaluate and analyze the case to determine whether there is clear and convincing evidence of attorney misconduct that would allow OCTC to bring disciplinary proceedings in Court. After a determination to proceed with disciplinary proceedings, the complaint advances to the pre-filing stage.

When multiple complaints are made against the same attorney, OCTC may focus its resources and prosecutorial efforts on those complaints most likely to result in disbarment. In such an event, the investigation of the other complaints may be suspended or “held.” If the Supreme Court orders the attorney’s disbarment, prosecution of the suspended cases will no longer be necessary and the remaining complaints will not be investigated further. If the attorney is not disbarred, however, OCTC may re-activate any suspended investigations. If an attorney is the subject of a criminal prosecution or party to civil action for the same misconduct, OCTC may suspend its investigation until the criminal or civil proceedings have concluded.

PRE-FILING

Before finalizing formal charges, OCTC evaluates the evidence gathered during the investigation and any subsequent information received from the respondent or other source. Where OCTC has determined there is sufficient evidence to file a Notice of Disciplinary Charges, OCTC will notify the respondent in writing of the intent to file such charges and the attorney’s right to request a confidential Early Neutral Evaluation Conference (ENE). Either party may request an ENE before a State Bar Court judge who will orally evaluate the facts, charges, and potential for discipline. Prior to the ENE, OCTC must provide the ENE judge with a draft or summary of the charges and OCTC’s settlement position. Regardless of whether either party requests an ENE, OCTC also provides the respondent an opportunity to request informal discovery and to discuss potential settlement. If the parties are unable to reach a resolution or the respondent does not respond to OCTC’s written notice, OCTC will proceed to file charges.

After the filing of formal charges, the parties may explore the appropriateness of participation in the Alternative Discipline Program (Program) for respondents with substance abuse and/or mental health concerns. Participation is contingent upon the following: 1) the Court’s approval of a stipulation of facts and conclusions of law signed by the parties; 2) evidence that the respondent’s substance abuse or mental health issue causally contributed to the misconduct; and 3) respondent’s acceptance into the State Bar’s Lawyer Assistance Program (LAP). The extent and severity of the respondent’s stipulated misconduct, including the degree of harm suffered by his or her clients, if any, are factors in determining eligibility for the Program. The stipulation includes the level of discipline that will be imposed if the program is completed successfully, and a higher level of discipline that will be imposed if the attorney does not complete the program. If the respondent successfully completes the Program, the disposition may be dismissal of the charges or proceeding or some other level of discipline less than
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62 Complainants in cases dismissed under these circumstances are eligible for reimbursement through the Client Security Fund.
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disbarment; if the respondent does not complete the Program, the higher level of discipline will be imposed.

HEARING AND REVIEW

After the filing of disciplinary charges, OCTC prosecutes the case in the Hearing Department, which is the trial level of the Court. Five full-time judges hear and decide cases, and make recommendations to the Supreme Court in cases where proposed discipline includes suspension or disbarment. If the discipline is limited to reproval, it can be imposed by the Court without review by the Supreme Court.

The Review Department is the appellate level of the State Bar Court, consisting of the presiding judge and two other review judges. The three-judge panel acts on a statewide basis to conduct de novo reviews of Hearing Department decisions and orders in cases in which at least one of the parties has sought review. Review judges review and decide cases, and make recommendations to the Supreme Court in cases in which one or both of the parties have sought review of a Hearing judge’s decision, exercise temporary suspension and other powers delegated to it by the Supreme Court according to rule 9.10, California Rules of Court; and conduct discretionary interlocutory review on issues materially affecting the outcome of the Hearing Department cases.

SUPREME COURT

Upon the filing of the Court’s decision and the record, the Supreme Court conducts its own independent determination and action. Discipline is not imposed until the Supreme Court issues its final order or decision.

Chart K1 on the following page shows the flow of client complaints, as described above. Charts K2 and K3 on the subsequent pages reproduce the brochure published on the State Bar’s website in English and Spanish and provided to members of the public who contact the State Bar.